



American Orthotic & Prosthetic Association

www.AOPAnet.org

AOPA In Advance SmartBrief

Breaking News

October 18, 2016

AOPA Headlines:

[Present at the 2017 AOPA World Congress](#)

[Revised Coding for the C—Leg](#)

[Coding Reminder for Prosthetic Covers and Skins](#)

[The O&P Almanac Wins Two Graphic Design Awards of Excellence](#)

[Chris Nolan Joins Ottobock](#)

[Join the Coding & Billing Experts in Las Vegas!](#)

[Noridian Release Prepayment Review Results](#)

[HHS Request to U.S. District Court Rejected](#)

[AHRQ Announces Systematic Review of Clinical Literature on Lower Limb Prostheses](#)

[Now Available: 2016 Operating Performance Report](#)

[Upcoming Events](#)

Present at the 2017 AOPA World Congress

Share Your Expertise * Advance Your Career * Improve Patient Care

AOPA is seeking high-quality education presentations for the second O&P World Congress to be held September 6-9, 2017 at the Mandalay Bay Resort in Las Vegas, Nevada, USA.



Your submissions, based on sound research and strong empirical data, will set the stage for a broad curriculum of highly valued clinical and scientific offerings at the 2017 World Congress. All free paper abstracts for the 2017 World Congress must be submitted electronically through the links below. Abstracts submitted by email or fax will not be considered. All abstracts will be considered for both podium and poster presentations. The review committee will grade each

submission via a blind review process, based on the criteria below and reach a decision regarding acceptance of abstracts.

- Relevance, level of interest in topic
- Quality of Scientific Content
- Quality of Clinical Content

Clinical Free Papers – Those wishing to present an Orthotic, Prosthetic or Pedorthic Free Paper should [submit here](#) to have their paper considered for presentation at the 2017 World Congress. The top scoring papers will compete for the prestigious Thranhardt Award.

Technician Program – If you would like your Technical education paper considered for submission in the Technical Track, please [submit your paper here](#).

Business Education Program – Please [submit your business education paper here](#). The top papers will be considered for the prestigious Sam E. Hamontree, CP (E) Business Education Award.

Symposia – If you are interested in organizing a Symposium, then please [submit here](#).

Contact AOPA Headquarters at 571/431-0876 or tcarlson@AOPAnet.org with questions about the submission process or the World Congress in general.

Revised Coding for the C—Leg

The Durable Medical Equipment Medicare Administrative Contractors (DME MAC) and the Pricing, Data Analysis and Coding (PDAC) contractor recently released a joint statement providing revised coding for the C-Leg. For claims with a date of service on or after October 6, 2016 the following codes are the only codes that may be used to describe the C-Leg for billing purposes:

- L5828 - ADDITION, ENDOSKELETAL KNEE-SHIN SYSTEM, SINGLE AXIS, FLUID SWING AND STANCE PHASE CONTROL
- L5845 - ADDITION, ENDOSKELETAL, KNEE-SHIN SYSTEM, STANCE FLEXION FEATURE, ADJUSTABLE
- L5848 - ADDITION TO ENDOSKELETAL KNEE-SHIN SYSTEM, FLUID STANCE EXTENSION, DAMPENING FEATURE, WITH OR WITHOUT ADJUSTABILITY
- L5856 - ADDITION TO LOWER EXTREMITY PROSTHESIS, ENDOSKELETAL KNEE-SHIN SYSTEM, MICROPROCESSOR CONTROL FEATURE, SWING AND STANCE PHASE, INCLUDES ELECTRONIC SENSOR(S), ANY TYPE

The revised coding announcement removes the HCPCS code L5930 (ADDITION, ENDOSKELETAL SYSTEM, HIGH ACTIVITY KNEE CONTROL FRAME), from the previous list of approved HCPCS codes. Suppliers are also reminded that the use of a miscellaneous code, L5999, may not be used to describe any features of the C-Leg.

Suppliers are also reminded that any and all HCPCS codes assigned to a product by the DME MACs or PDAC must be billed when a claim is submitted to Medicare for payment.

View the complete joint statement [here](#).

Questions? Contact Joe McTernan at jmcternan@AOPAnet.org or Devon Bernard at dbernard@AOPANet.org.

Coding Reminder for Prosthetic Covers and Skins

Each of the DME MACs (Durable Medical Equipment Medicare Administrative Contractors) continue to report a high volume of claims containing codes that describe prosthetic covers (L5704-L5707) and protective covering systems (L5962, L5964, and L5966), even though they have stated that the medical need for both of these protective features on a single prosthesis is rare. The DME MACs contend that custom shaped protective covers (L5704-L5707) provide sufficient protection and waterproofing for normal daily usage of a prosthesis and that the addition of an outer surface covering system, commonly referred to as a skin, is only medically necessary when there are unusually harsh environmental situations that warrant additional protection.

The DME MACs want to remind suppliers that there must be documentation to support medical necessity of a protective outer surface covering system (L5962, L5964, and L5966) and this documentation must indicate the type of extraordinary activities that would justify the need for extra protection; beyond that of everyday usage in a typical environment.

Also, when billing for the L5962, L5964 or L5966, you must include information on your claim regarding the type of protective cover provided (i.e., manufacturer name, make, model or type).

Questions regarding this issue may be directed to Joe McTernan at jmcternan@aopanet.org or Devon Bernard at dbernard@aopanet.org

The O&P Almanac Wins Two Graphic Design Awards of Excellence



The *O&P Almanac* continues its award-winning performance netting TWO Graphic Design Awards of Excellence for the *O&P Almanac* from Graphic Design USA's 53rd Annual Design Competition. The two entries submitted were the December 2015 and June 2016 issues. The December 2015 design will be featured in the Graphic Design USA Award issue.

2016 AMERICAN GRAPHIC DESIGN AWARDS

For five decades, Graphic Design

USA has sponsored design competitions that spotlight areas of excellence and opportunity for creative professionals. GDUSA's American Graphic Design Awards is the original and the flagship, open to the entire the community: design firms, ad agencies, corporations, non-profits, institutions, freelancers, students and more. It honors outstanding work of all kinds and across all media. Just a shade under 10,000 entries were submitted; a highly selective 15 percent are recognized today with a Certificate of Excellence. In the rare case of multiple awards, a Certificate for each winning piece is enclosed.



Chris Nolan Joins Ottobock



Ottobock North America has announced the appointment of Chris Nolan as Vice President of the Orthotics business unit. In his new position, Nolan will help lead Ottobock's strategic effort in the O&P industry and provide guidance into growing new markets. He is also a member of the Ottobock North America Regional Management Team.

Nolan comes to Ottobock with extensive industry experience, most recently as Vice President and General Manager for Endolite US. During his more than eight years of tenure at Endolite, he served four years on the Board of Directors helping to shape the strategic direction of the company while interacting with the international management team.

Prior to that, Nolan held the positions of Regional Sales Director for DJO and Regional Sales Manager for Ossur. Nolan graduated from Virginia Commonwealth University with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science.

While Chris has enjoyed more than 15 years working in the orthotics and prosthetics industry, he has also given his time and talents by serving on numerous Boards of Directors. He currently serves on the board for the American Orthotic and Prosthetic Association, and as Chairman of the National Assembly Committee. Chris also serves on the board for The First Tee of Greater Miami Valley which promotes youth development through the game of golf. Chris has previously served on the boards for the National Association for the Advancement of Orthotics and Prosthetics and the Sycamore Creek Country Club. The Nolan family is currently relocating from Springboro, Ohio to Austin, Texas.

Join the Coding & Billing Experts in Las Vegas!

The AOPA Coding & Billing Experts are Coming to Las Vegas!

AOPA's next Coding & Billing Seminar will be in Las Vegas! Don't miss this opportunity to get the most up-to-date information to advance your O&P practitioners' and billing staff's coding knowledge.

Join your Colleagues November 14-15 in Las Vegas!

At this seminar you will:

- Receive up-to-date information on Prior Authorization and other Hot Topics
- Ensure your Proof of Delivery meets Medicare Requirements
- Learn how to assess risk areas in your practice
- Learn successful appeal strategies and hints to avoid claim denials
- Practice coding complex devices, including repairs and adjustment
- Attend break-out sessions for practitioners and office staff
- Earn 14 CEs



[Register Now](#)

Noridian Releases Prepayment Review Results

Noridian, the Jurisdiction D Durable Medical Equipment Medicare Administrative Contractor (DME MAC), recently released their quarterly results of its review for claims involving the HCPCS codes L1832, L1843, L0648 and L4361.

Between April 2016 and July 2016 Noridian reviewed 161 claims involving the L1832 and 152 claims were denied; resulting in a 99% error/denial rate. The previous denial/error rate was 100%. The review of claims involving the L1843 had a denial/error rate of 98%; 111 of 112 claims were denied.

The top four denial reasons were listed as:

- Documentation submitted didn't justify the need for a custom fitted brace
- Documentation did not support the presence of knee instability or that the beneficiary is ambulatory
- Documentation was not submitted in response to the Additional Documentation Request
- Invalid/Incomplete/Missing proof of delivery

Noridian released their quarterly results of its prepayment review for L0648 and L0650. Between April 2016 and July 2016 Noridian reviewed 275 claims involving the L0648 and 186 claims were denied; resulting in a 64% error/denial rate. The previous quarter's denial rate (January-April) was 74%. The review of claims involving the L0650 had a denial/error rate of 83%; 425 of 512 reviewed claims were denied. The previous quarter's denial rate (January-April) was 82%.

Some of the top denial reasons listed included:

- Invalid/Missing/Incomplete detailed written orders
- Documentation was not submitted in response to the Additional Documentation Request
- Invalid/Incomplete/Missing proof of delivery

Noridian also released their quarterly results for claims involving HCPCS code L4361 (walking boot, pneumatic and/or vacuum, with or without joints, with or without interface material, prefabricated, off-the-shelf). Between April 2016 and July 2016 Noridian reviewed 378 claims on the L4361, and 270 were denied. This resulted in a claim denial/error rate of 73%.

The top four denial reasons were listed as:

- Invalid/Missing/Incomplete detailed written orders
- Documentation was not submitted in response to the Additional Documentation Request
- Invalid/Incomplete/Missing proof of delivery
- Documentation didn't support basic coverage criteria (patient was ambulatory, patient had a weakness/deformity of the ankle, potential to benefit, etc.)

Based on the high denial/error rates Noridian will continue with the prepayment review for all of those codes.

Questions? Contact Devon Bernard at dbernard@AOPAnet.org or Joe McTernan at jmcternan@AOPAnet.org.

HHS Request to U.S. District Court Rejected

A Quick Synopsis

In 2014, the American Hospital Association filed suit in the federal District Court seeking relief because HHS and the Office of Medicare Hearings and Appeals (OMHA) have for many years egregiously exceeded the statutory provision which assures a provider who must return money to Medicare as a result of an audit a final ALJ decision within 90 days after filing a request for ALJ appeal. Originally, the District Court dismissed the case, but early this year the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals reversed that decision and ordered that the case should go forward in the District Court.

HHS/OMHA asked for a delay of over a year (until September 30, 2017) citing proposed regs (to which both AOPA and the O&P Alliance responded) intended to reduce the backlog--which are somewhat problematic in their own right. The Court ruled not to approve HHS' request for such a delay, but rather that case will go forward with a hearing in two weeks.

Court Sees Likelihood that the Backlog and Delay in ALJ Decisions Will Grow, Despite Various Efforts by HHS/OMHA Proposed Rule

In his ruling rejecting the HHS request for delay, District Court Judge Boasberg said that even with the administrative changes proposed by HHS/OMHA, it would not reverse the backlog, but rather that the ALJ backlog/delay would nonetheless probably get worse, not better over several years. The increased claim settlement efforts, and appointing attorneys to undertake adjudication of appeals in the proposed regs, would, the Court said, at best reduce the growth of the backlog. The specifics of the delay are daunting. Without any remedial actions by either HHS/OMHA or Congress the projected number of appeal cases awaiting ALJ hearing would reach nearly 1.1 million. HHS reports average delay in 2016 is 850 days, but OMHA says in the third quarter of this year it took 935 day for appeals to get through the first three levels of appeal, not getting to a final ALJ decision. Reports from O&P patient care appellants seems to run closer to 4 years waiting time to get to an ALJ decision! HHS proposed changes to the RAC program, but these would impact just 7 percent of RAC cases. Finally, the judge underscored that several Congressional initiatives, e.g., the AFIRM bill had not moved much closer to enactment in the 7+ months since the Circuit Court of Appeals decision, nor did it appear likely that significant budget increases to hire substantially more ALJs would be enacted anytime soon.

The Appeals of RAC Decisions, and the Related Interest Due if Provider Wins May Be Making the Program Much Less Financially Beneficial to the Federal Government Than Many Think

As [a report from Dobson-DaVanzo](#) last year demonstrated, with the long ALJ delays, coupled with the 11% annual interest payable by the government on the amount the government recouped if the provider prevails, the actual yield to the government from the audits is greatly reduced, and perhaps close to being fully consumed by its costs. For example, RAC auditors receive something in range of a 13% bounty on whatever they claw back. If the case is reversed after the ALJ decision 4 years later, CMS would pay the 11%, which when compounded amounts to a 51.8% interest over the 4 years. In this scenario CMS may be able to secure the return of the RAC auditor commissions. Dobson-DaVanzo's work identified at least 58% of O&P RAC appeals are won at the ALJ level (this is the highest success rate among all provider subgroups, and could perhaps be higher, as only verified ALJ wins could be affirmatively identified)-this coupled with the above large interest due, would appear to largely obliterate any net long-term CMS gains from all the RAC efforts in O&P.

One concluding note is that two bills supported by AOPA would help alleviate some of the adverse impact of RAC audits on O&P professionals. S.829/H.R. 1530 would assure that CMS must recognize the orthotist/prosthetist notes of patient visits as a legitimate part of the medical record for purposes of determining medical necessity. H.R. 1526 would help reduce the cash flow devastation of audits and extended wait for appeals by establishing the maximum amount of recoupment that CMS could claw back **before** a final ALJ appeal decision to 50% of the contested amount until such time as HHS/CMS/OMHA are operating within the clear terms of the statute and assuring delivery of final ALJ decisions within the stated 90 days.

Contact Joseph McTernan with any questions at 571/431-0811 or jmcternan@aopanet.org.

AHRQ Announces Systematic Review of Clinical Literature on Lower Limb Prostheses

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), the “government agency tasked with producing evidence to improve the quality of healthcare while working with partners to ensure that the evidence is understood and used,” recently announced that it will be initiating a systematic review for lower limb prostheses. The systematic review will be performed through the Evidence Based Practice Center Program of the AHRQ—they will likely select a firm to conduct the review under contract-- and the stated purpose of the systematic review is “to examine the available clinical evidence that defines practices in the care of beneficiaries who require lower limb prostheses (LLP).”

While the announcement does not tie the systematic review to the work of the inter-agency taskforce assigned to review the delayed draft Local Coverage Determination (LCD) that was released by the DME MACs in the summer of 2015, it is very likely that the initiation of the systematic review for lower limb prostheses is related to the work of this taskforce.

AOPA will be working toward a timely and substantive meeting with representatives of the AHRQ in order to discuss existing systematic reviews for lower limb prostheses that have recently been completed through AOPA funding as well as the ongoing work and comprehensive systematic literature reviews and simulation modeling being conducted by the RAND Corporation including its assessment of the cost effectiveness of prosthetic intervention, as well as both previous and new cost effectiveness studies based on Medicare data that have been developed by Dobson DaVanzo.

AOPA will continue to inform its membership of any developments in the status of the AHRQ initiated systematic review.

Now Available: 2016 Operating Performance Report

AOPA Releases Results from Member Benchmarking Survey

Are you curious about how your O&P business is performing compared to others? Have you been asking questions like these?

- *How does our spending on materials, advertising or other expenses compare with other companies similar to ours?*
- *Is our gross margin better or worse than other facilities of the same size?*

- *Are our employees generating enough sales?*

Copies of the **2016 Operating Performance Report** are now available. The annual report provides a comprehensive financial profile of the O&P industry including balance sheet, income statement and payer information organized by total revenue size, community size, and profitability. This year's data was submitted by more than 88 patient care companies representing 1,164 full time facilities and 71 part-time facilities.

For those wanting to learn more about using benchmarking data to strengthen their business, a seasoned panel of experts will present "The Top 5 Things to Know About Your Business to Survive and Succeed" at the 2016 Assembly in Boston on Friday September 9, 2016 from 4:00-5:00 PM. Current and historical operating performance data will also be used to illuminate trends taking place in the O&P industry.

2016 Operating Performance Reports are available electronically or print in AOPA's bookstore.
2016 Operating Performance Report (Electronic) member/nonmember \$185/\$325
2016 Operating Performance Report (Print) member/nonmember \$285/\$425

To order your copy, visit <https://www.aopanetonline.org/store>.

Upcoming AOPA Events

- | | |
|----------------------|--|
| November 9, 2016 | <i>Don't Miss Out: Are You Billing For Everything You Can?</i>
AOPA Webinar
Learn more and register here |
| November 14-15, 2016 | <i>Coding & Billing Seminar</i>
Las Vegas, NV
Learn more and register here |
| December 14, 2016 | <i>New Codes and What Lies Ahead for 2017</i>
AOPA Webinar
Learn more and register here |